

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/674,543	12/27/2000	Heikki Heikkila	14007	8973
75	90 09/25/2003			
Leopold Presser Scully Scott Murphy & Presser 400 Garden City Plaza			· EXAMINER	
			WONG, LESLIE A	
Garden City, NY 11530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	
			DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/674,543 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

Heikkila et al.

		Leslie Wong	1761			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	pondence addres	:s		
A SH THE I	for Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In		H(S) FROM	from the		
mailing - If the property of t	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause to ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	he statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin he application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S	e considered timely. ng date of this communi S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 27, 2	2003		•		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 This ac	tion is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	·		merits is		
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>3-22</u> , <u>40-45</u> , <u>and 57</u>	is/are	e pending in the	application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn fro	m consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 3-22, 40-45, and 57		is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected	to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restric	ction and/or elec	tion requirement.		
Applica	ition Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ard	e a) \square accepted or b) \square objecte	ed to by the Exa	miner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a)	١.		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved	b) disapprove	ed by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.				
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) 📙	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha		1-			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha			·		
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	i this National Si	:age		
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e).			
a) [The translation of the foreign language provision	al application has been received.				
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	D and/or 121.			
Attachm						
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper				
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
al lXiµ	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). $\underline{5+6}$	6) Uther:				

Application/Control Number: 09/674543

Art Unit: 1761

Upon further review, Shinozaki (JP 49-16929) is applied.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-22, 40-45, and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinozaki (JP 49-16929) in view of DuRoss.

Shinozaki (JP 49-16929) disclose a method for the manufacture of granular or powdered xylite (i.e. xylitol) comprising concentrating an aqueous solution of xylite, seeding with powdered xylite, and drying further to obtain a crystalline powder (see entire translated document).

The claims differ as to the specific use of the crystalline xylitol in food products

DuRoss discloses crystalline xylitol and chewing gums containing (see entire patent,
especially Example 3).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the xylitol product of Shinozaki in a chewing gum as taught by DuRoss because the use of xylitol products in chewing gums is conventional in the art.

With respect to the particle size, it is noted that Applicant attaches no criticality to the particle size and at most the particle size is seen to be no more than optimization and well within the skill of the art, see In re Boesch 205 USPQ 215.

Application/Control Number: 09/674543

Art Unit: 1761

In the absence of unexpected results, it is not seen how the claimed invention differs from

Page 3

the teachings of the prior art. Applicant's claims are drawn to a combination of known

components which produces expected results, see In re Kerkhoven 205 USPO 1069 and In re

Gershon 152 USPQ 602.

All of the claim limitations have been considered. None of them are seen as serving as

basis for patentability.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is (703) 308-1979. The examiner can

normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9310 for non-final responses and (703) 872-

9311 for after-final response.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761

LAW

September 22, 2003